Scrutiny of PSB Performance

Scrutiny Committee:

Date of meeting:

Reporting Period under consideration:

Iit is proposed that Scrutiny consider the following key questions as part of analysing the performance information:

PSB Performance Report

1. Overall, does the detail provided in the performance report provide a meaningful and balanced account of progress against planned milestones and targets in Towards 2040? Does it clearly articulate; how well we are doing?; how do we know?; what and how can we do better? Is the commentary written in clear, plain and understandable language?
2. Are the BRAG status' that have been given for the objectives fair and appropriate? Do they align with the detail that is provided in the AIA commentary?
3. Given current and previous performance against the measures, are future targets realistic/ sufficiently challenging? Are there specific areas of concern, for example objectives/ measures that have not made progress from one quarter to the next?
4. Are the actions for getting red and amber objectives/ measures back on track robust enough? (taking account of available resources and prioritisation). Are the timescales for completing the actions realistic and appropriate?
5. Are the objectives and measures the right ones to achieve the end goals/ outcomes? Are there any other SMART measures scrutiny would like to recommend for monitoring?
6. Any other comments

Comment by:	Comment:	Response